



20131	10V 20 AM 9: 42 BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2013 NOV 20 AM 9: 3
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the Matter of MUR 6567 Bruce Peller Bruce Peller for Congress and Paula Andrea Henao as treasurer DISMISSAL AND CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM SYSTEM
11	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
12	Under the Enforcement Priority System, the Commission uses formal scoring criteria as a
13	basis to allocate its resources and decide which matters to pursue. These criteria include without
14	limitation an assessment of the following factors: (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, taking
15	into account both the type of activity and the amount in violation; (2) the apparent impact the
16	alleged violation may have had on the electoral process; (3) the complexity of the legal issues
17	raised in the matter; and (4) recent trends in potential violations of the Federal Election
18	Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and developments of the law. It is the
19	Commission's policy that pursuing relatively low-rated matters on the Enforcement docket
20	warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss cases under certain circumstances.
21	The Office of General Counsel has determined that MUR 6567 should not be referred to
22	the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office. Also, for the reasons set forth below, the Office of
23	General Counsel recommends that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion to

dismiss MUR 6567.1

24

The EPS rating information is as follows: Filed: June 22, 2012.

1 In this matter, the Complainant, John K. Motsinger, Sr., asserts that as of April 20, 2012. 2 Bruce Peller, Bruce Peller for Congress (the "Committee")2 and Paula Andrea Henao in her 3 official capacity as treasurer, had failed to file a quarterly campaign report due on April 15. 4 2012, a statement of the Committee's organization, and a statement of Peller's candidacy, as 5 required by the Act and underlying Commission regulations. Compl. at 1-2. The Complainant 6 alleges that, as of the date of Complaint, Bruce Peller was a federal candidate because the 7 Committee had made expenditures over \$5,000. Moreover, the Complainant asserts that Peller 8 was not "testing the waters" because he filed with the North Carolina State Board of Elections as 9 a candidate, formally announced his candidacy, and otherwise held himself out as a candidate.³ 10 See 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(b), 100.131(b). Id. at 1-2. Additionally, the Committee allegedly 11 received contributions over \$5,000 and failed to include a proper disclaimer on its yard signs. Id. 12 Treasurer Henao acknowledges that the 2012 April Quarterly report was past due but that 13 the Committee only discovered this fact on April 18, 2012. Resp. at 1. The Committee "compiled and mailed [the report] the next day." 4 Id. Henao explains that Christopher Church 14 was the Committee's treasurer prior to April 18, 2012 and that Church advised the Committee 15 that it "[was] in compliance and that no reports were past duc." Id. Henae further responds that 16 Church was fired for "writing unauthorized checks from the campaign checking account" and 17 "transferring money . . . to his personal banking account." Id. The incident was reported to the 18

Peller was a candidate in North Carolina's fifth congressional district. The Committee was Peller's principal campaign committee.

Specifically, the Committee had an experienced campaign manager, a "professional [campaign] website," it distributed "professional grade campaign literature and materials," and had yard signs. Compl. at 1.

The Committee's Statement of Organization and 2012 April Quarterly Report, and Heller's Statement of Candidacy, were received by the FEC on April 24, 2012.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 Winston-Salem Police Department.⁵ Id. Henao also notes that the Committee's subsequent
- 2 reports had been timely and accurately filed. Id. Henao did not respond to the allegation that the
- 3 Committee's yard signs lacked an appropriate disclaimer.
- 4 An individual becomes a candidate for federal office when he or she has received
- 5 contributions or made expenditures in excess of \$5,000. 2 U.S.C. § 431(2); see also 11 C.F.R.
- 6 § 100.3. The Committee reported over \$5,000 in contributions and expenditures on its April
- 7 quarterly report. See April 2012 Quarterly Report of Roceipts and Disbursements (Apr. 24,
- 8 2012). Peller, thus, was a candidate as of April 15, 2012.
 - In reviewing the circumstances surrounding the delayed reporting, we note that the Committee appears to have been misled by its former treasurer, and that the Committee took prompt remedial action upon discovering that it was not in compliance with the Commission's filing requirements. Indeed, the Committee filed its statement of organization and its April quarterly report on April 24, 2012—only nine days after the due date. Peller also filed his statement of candidacy on April 24, 2012. The alleged failure to file reports, thus, was promptly corrected. Furthermore, the allegation regarding yard signs did not provide any specific
- 16 information about a possible disclaimer violation. Therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's
- 17 priorities, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General
- 18 Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss

This Office has repeatedly requested additional information from the Committee concerning the alleged embezzlement from its former treasurer. To date, the Committee has not responded nor has it filed a complaint with the Commission regarding the embezzlement. We note that on May 2, 2012, the Winston-Salem Journal's website posted an article on the alleged embezzlement by Church and subsequent police report by Peller, which reflected the amount at issue in the embezzlement to be \$5.620. John Hinton, *Political consultant denies wrongdoing as police investigate*, WINSTON-SALEM JOURNAL, May 2, 2012, http://www.journalnow.com/news/elections/local/article c83eb207-f7d1-57da-a69e-040227f3821e.html

- this matter pursuant to *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821 (1985), approve the attached Factual &
- 2 Legal Analysis and the appropriate letters, and close the file.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Dismiss MUR 6567, pursuant to the Commission's prosecutorial discretion;

- 2. Approve the attached Factual & Legal Analysis and the appropriate letters; and
- 3. Close the file.

General Counsel

BY:

Gregory R. Baker

Deputy General Counsel

Jeff/S. Jordan

Supervisory Atterney
Complaints Examination
& Legal Administration

Donald E. Campbell

Attorney

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration